

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,170		02/27/2004	Donald W. Bogart	Bogari	9925
33303	7590	03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
MARCI	A DEVON	Ī	CANFIELD	CANFIELD, ROBERT	
P.O. BOX 3781 5285 APPIAN WAY				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LONG B	EACH, CA	90803	3635		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
W		10/789,170	BOGART, DONALD W.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert J Canfield	3635				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2004.							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.						
<i>•</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		• •				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/789,170

Art Unit: 3635

1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 10/789170 filed 12/27/04. There were two claim 2's originally. The claims have been renumbered as claims 1-8 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126. Applicant should carry forward this numbering throughout the prosecution.

Page 2

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first sentence of the specification should be amended to reflect that application serial number 09/891426 has issued as U.S. Patent 6,758,230.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 8 are indefinite for failing to end with a period.

The scope of the claims is rendered indefinite by the defining the shelter with direct relationships to the airplane. As understood a shelter is being claimed alone with the intended use environment being for an airplane. Defining elements of the shelter with direct relationships to the airplane, which as understood is not intended to be a positively recited element of the claims, renders the claims unclear. Is the shelter being claimed alone as understood or in combination with an airplane? If the shelter is intended to be claimed alone language such as "positioned proximate to each wingtip of said airplane" and

Application/Control Number: 10/789,170

Art Unit: 3635

"supported over the wings of the airplane" The shelter must be defined to stand alone with references to the plane made with functional language.

Page 3

In claim 1 "said shelter structure" lacks antecedent basis.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,758,230. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the elements of the instant claims is included in the patent claims.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/789,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 2, and 8 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,350,289 to Richards.

Richards provides vertical support posts b, g, cantilever beams a<sup>2</sup>, support cables k, e, f, covering d, footings/anchors at the bottom of the vertical posts as showing in figures, and anchor cables e, f.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,170

Art Unit: 3635

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3635

03/13/05